LOWER PAXTON TOWNSHIP BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Minutes of Board Meeting held December 18, 2007

A business meeting of the Board of Supervisors of Lower Paxton Township was called to order at 7:30 p.m. by Chairman William B. Hawk on the above date in the Lower Paxton Township Municipal Center, 425 Prince Street, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania.

Supervisors present in addition to Mr. Hawk were: William B. Seeds, Sr., William L. Hornung, Gary A. Crissman, and David B.Blain.

Also in attendance were George Wolfe, Township Manager; Steven Stine, Township Solicitor; Lori Wissler and Dianne Moran, Planning and Zoning Officers; Jeff Staub, Dauphin Engineering; Micki Molinari; Sidney Ruble; and Judd Dayton, Evans Engineering.

Pledge of Allegiance

Mr. Seeds led in the recitation of the Pledge of Allegiance.

Approval of Minutes

Mr. Crissman made a motion to approve the minutes from the October 9, 2007 workshop meeting, and August 14, 2007 joint Planning Commission meetings. Mr. Blain seconded the motion, and the motion was approved unanimously.

Public Comment

None was presented.

Chairman and Board Member's Comments

None was presented.

Manager's Report

Mr. Wolfe explained that during the Board Workshop Meeting held December 11, 2007, the Township's activities to comply with an Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) audit conducted by the Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) was discussed.

He noted that DEP reviewed the Township's policies and procedures in regard to hiring and purchasing, and recommended areas for improvement. He noted that staff has responded to DEP in regards to these matters and DEP has issued a letter approving the Township's response. He noted that the Township was found to be in compliance with the EEOC requirements as verified by DEP.

Mr. Wolfe noted that the Friendship Center (FC) will sponsor a Family Fun Night on January 11, 2008, from 6 p.m. to 9 p.m. This event is free for members, but there is a \$3 fee for non-members. He noted that pizza and refreshments will be served, and there will be opportunities for family games and activities.

Mr. Wolfe explained that the next meeting of the Board of Supervisors will be held on Monday, January 7, 2008 at 7:30 p.m. He explained that in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, all municipalities must meet the first Monday of the year to reorganize for conducting business for the new year. He noted during this meeting, the Board will elect its chairman and vice-chairman. He noted that prior to that, the newly elected board member will take his oath of office. He noted that people will be appointed to serve as employees in the Township, or serve as appointed members on the various boards or commissions. He noted that the Board members will schedule the 2008 meetings at this time, therefore, there will be no meeting schedule posted on the website until the meeting dates have been adopted. He noted that he expects the Board of Supervisors to continue to conduct its meetings on Tuesdays nights, with the next workshop meeting to be held on Tuesday, January 15, 2008.

OLD BUSINESS

Resolution 2007-53; Adoption of the 2008 fiscal year budgets

Mr. Wolfe explained that staff prepared and worked very hard with the Board of Supervisors, over a three-month period, on the development of a General Fund Budget in the

amount of \$20,508,336.00. He noted that the budget is based on a millage rate of .875; divided into .594 mills for general purposes, .240 mills for fire protection, and .041 mills for library services. He noted that the .875 millage rate represents a tax increase rate from the .7 millage rate for the fiscal year 2007. He noted that the .875 millage rate is a return to the tax rate that the Township enacted three years ago.

Mr. Wolfe noted that the General Fund Budget of \$20,508,336 for the 2008 fiscal year includes a loss of \$300,000 tax revenue from the Emergency and Municipal Services Tax. He explained that the new tax, the Local Services Tax revenues changed as a result of State Legislation, resulting in a 30% drop in this funding source. He noted that the Board received significant funding increases from outsider service providers, mainly the South Central Emergency Medical Services (SCEMS). He noted that the budget doubles the past contribution of \$112,000 to \$224,000. He noted that the tax increase is necessary to fund the \$412,000 shortfall for the budget.

Mr. Wolfe noted that included in Resolution 2007-53 is the budget amount for the General Improvement Fund that amounts to \$3,112,625 that represents the Township's capital projects for 2008. He noted that those projects include significant equipment upgrades for the Compost Facility, completion of improvements at the Thomas B. George Jr. Park, and the Village of Linglestown Improvement project, to name a few. He noted that these projects are included in the Township's Strategic Plan, and have been multi-year in nature.

Mr. Wolfe noted that the Friendship Center Operating Fund is included in the budget in the amount of \$2,146,540.00. He noted that the Friendship Center operates independently from the Township's General Fund, and is not funded through tax revenues. He noted that the FC is funded through membership fees and program revenues. He noted that the Board of Supervisors

is ultimately responsible for the operation of the Friendship Center, and as such, it adopts the FC yearly operating budget.

Mr. John Trish, 600 Prince Street, noted that Mr. Blain is doing his best to oversee the operations of the Capital Tax Collection Bureau (CTCB), but he questioned with the increase in funding to the Humane Society and SCEMS, if the Township was doing anything to ensure that the requested increases are valid. He questioned why the Humane Society tripled their fees to the Township. He noted that many of the local Townships have questioned where the animals are coming from. He questioned if the animals taken to the Humane Society are, in fact, coming from the Township and if anyone was verifying the charges made to the Township. Mr. Seeds noted that the Township does not have much choice as to where they can take the stray animals. He explained if a person drops off an animal and says that they live in the Township, they charge the Township for the animal. He noted that the Township does not have representation on the Humane Society's Board of Directors; however, he noted that Mr. Blain is a member of the CTCB Board of Directors, and he is a member of the SCEMS Board of Directors. He noted that the Supervisors do the best to oversee the Township funds, and noted that the cost of many things are going up due to the increase of fuel costs.

Mr. Trish noted that the Township has held the line on tax increases for many years, and he noted that Mr. Hornung wanted to raise the rate more than what was proposed. He noted if the Township is being charged a legitimate fee to take in animals, that is one thing, but to raise the rate at the rate that the Humane Society raised it is very high. Mr. Hawk noted that this is a concern shared by many of the surrounding Townships, especially the documentation of who is taking the animals to the Humane Society. He noted that, at this point, there is no way to prove or disprove the billing.

Mr. Trish noted that the sewer rates will continue to increase for the next three or four years, and the Humane Society closed their facility on the West Shore. He noted if the Township is arbitrarily accepting the high increase in fees, there needs to be someone to verify the billing. Mr. Hornung explained that Lt. Johnson checks the monthly bills received from the Humane Society. He noted that the Township has been told by the Humane Society that if it doesn't pay the increased fee, that they would not allow the Township to take any animals to their facility. He noted that Lt. Johnson is investigating why the rates tripled in one year.

Mr. Hornung noted that SCEMS asked for an extra \$200,000, and the Township is only providing an increase of \$100,000. He noted that the Township must respond to this request because if they don't and a resident calls 911, and no one responds, it would not be an acceptable option. He noted that the Township has made numerous reviews of SCEMS's budget and held several meetings with their representatives.

Mr. Hornung explained that a major problem incurred by SCEMS is the very low wages that they pay their personnel. He noted that they are having a hard time hiring personnel and retaining them, therefore, they are incurring a tremendous amount of overtime. He noted that SCEMS pays an Emergency Medical Technician, (EMT) roughly \$9 an hour. He noted that that rate is pretty low. He noted that the Township has been told that the increased funds would go directly to salaries. He noted that the Board members have membership on some of these Boards to insure that the funds are spent wisely and operations are run efficiently.

Mr. Trish noted that he is for everyone receiving a fair pay, however, he does not want to use SCEMS service, but when he had to use the service, he received a huge bill. He questioned if the bill was justified. He suggested that the Township is being blackmailed by these service providers. Mr. Crissman noted that that is why the Township did not provide the amount of funds that SCEMS asked for.

Mr. Seeds explained that many of the ambulance calls are for Medicare patients, and the government does not pay what it costs them. He noted that the remainder of the bill must be paid by local tax dollars.

Mr. Seeds noted that SCEMS has a membership program at a rate of \$45 per year. He urged all residents in the Township to become members of SCEMS. He suggested, if every resident in the Township paid for their membership, the Township would not have to fund any tax dollars to SCEMS.

Mr. Hawk noted that the Board has gone through the budget, line by line, and made many cuts. He noted that those decisions were not easy to make, and that he would like to compliment the Board members for their hard work in developing the budget. He noted that those budget meetings were open to the public, and he suggested that the budget was very fair and reasonable. He noted that in 2004, the tax rate was .875 mills, and the Township has not had a tax increase since 1990. He noted that the Board has cut taxes three times since 1995. He noted that the State Legislature had decreased the tax revenues from the Local Services Tax by roughly \$300,000, and the Township has had to borrow against the fund balance to meet the budget requirements.

Mr. Hawk made a motion to adopt the 2008 fiscal yea budget with a millage rate of .594 for general purposes, .240 for fire protection, and .041 for library services, noting a total millage rate of .875. Mr. Crissman seconded the motion.

Mr. Crissman explained that the taxes have not been increased since 1990. He noted that there are very few governmental agencies that can state that they have gone 18 years without an increase in taxes. He noted that the motion to raise the millage rate to .875 is a restoration of the 2004 millage rate. He noted that the process of balancing the budget is the result of two groups of people, the Board's responsibility to present a balanced budget, but in order to do so, it was

necessary to dip into the reserve account. He noted that the Board has agreed to dip into the reserves to partially fund the budget, but at the same time, the community needs to help. He noted that \$1,300,000 will be taken from the reserve account, and the increased tax will cost a homeowner of a home valued at \$200.000, an extra \$35 a year in real estate taxes. He suggested that an increase of \$35 over an 18-year period is a fair amount for that period of time. He noted that he would support the Resolution.

Mr. Seeds noted that none of the present members were on the Board in 1990, but he and Mr. Hornung joined the Board in January 1994. He noted that taxes were cut 10% in 1995, and 10% in 1996. He noted that when the EMST was created in 1994, the real estate tax was cut by 20%. He noted that the Township needs to increase the taxes due to the cut in the EMST or Local Tax as it is now known, and the increase assessments from the Humane Society and SCEMS. He noted that he would reluctantly support the increase since the Board has no choice but to do this.

Mr. Blain questioned how the .875 millage rate compares to the local municipalities in Dauphin County. Mr. Wolfe answered that Lower Paxton Township would maintain the lowest millage rate for any developed municipality in Dauphin County by many millage points. He noted that the next closest municipality would be Derry Township with a millage rate of 1.1 mills.

Mr. Hawk called for a roll call vote: Mr. Blain, aye; Mr. Crissman, aye; Mr. Hornung, aye, Mr. Seeds, aye; and Mr. Hawk, aye.

Mr. Crissman thanked the staff who prepared the budget to present to the Board members. Mr. Hawk noted that he would like to thank Ms. Speakman for her tireless efforts in preparing the budget.

Action on agreements between Lower Paxton Township and the AFSCME Public Works, Sewer, and Clerks and Custodians collective bargaining units

Mr. Hawk noted that the Board would not take action on the AFSCME agreement between the Clerks and Custodians as they have not ratified the agreement as of the date of this meeting, and expect to do so tomorrow. He noted that the action for this agreement will be placed on the January 7, 2008 meeting agenda. Mr. Hawk noted that the Board is prepared to take action on the ASFCME agreements between the Public Works, and Sewer Departments.

Mr. Wolfe explained that Public Works Agreement is a four-year agreement with an increase in wages for the first two years of 3.5%, and the second two years at 3.25%. He noted that the Sewer Department Agreement is a five-year contract with wage increases the same as the Public Works agreement noting that the fifth year wage increase would be 3%.

Mr. Crissman made a motion to approve the agreements between Lower Paxton

Township and the ASFCME Public Works and the Sewer collective bargaining units. Mr. Blain seconded the motion. Mr. Hawk called for a roll call vote: Mr. Blain, aye; Mr. Crissman, aye;

Mr. Hornung, aye, Mr. Seeds, aye; and Mr. Hawk, aye.

Appointment of a representative to the Dauphin County Greenway Study Committee

Mr. Hawk made a recommendation to place the name of Mr. William Seeds, Sr., to represent the Board on the Dauphin County Greenway Study Committee. In addition, Mr. Hawk recommended Mr. Brian Luetchford, the Parks and Recreation Director, to also sit on that Committee to represent the Township. Mr. Hawk noted if the Board members were in agreement to signify by stating aye. The Board members agreed by a unanimous vote.

Mr. Seeds noted that he is representing Dauphin County as a member of their Parks

Advisory Committee. He noted that it would be very helpful to have Mr. Luetchford at the

meetings as he is more knowledgeable on greenways. He noted that he would prefer to submit

Mr. Luetchford's name if only one name could be submitted. Mr. Crissman noted that the Board appointed two persons to the position. Mr. Seeds explained if an alternate is not permitted, he would prefer to nominate Mr. Luetchford as the representative. Mr. Crissman suggested that both could attend, and if only one vote is permitted, then one could voice the vote for the Township. Mr. Seeds noted that there is no place for an alternate or delegate to the position. Mr. Hawk noted that if both Mr. Luetchford and Mr. Seeds attend, and they don't allow Mr. Luetchford to vote, then Mr. Seeds could vote.

Nomination of an individual to serve on the Dauphin Southwest Plan Development Section

Mr. Blain nominated Mr. William Hawk to serve on the Dauphin Southwest Plan

Development Section. Mr. Crissman seconded the nomination, and a unanimous vote followed.

NEW BUSINESS

Easement from Lower Paxton Township to Verizon to provide service to the cellular telephone tower being constructed at the Public Works facility

Mr. Wolfe explained that this is an easement agreement for the Township to provide service to the cellular telephone tower being constructed at the Public Works facility. Mr. Crissman made a motion to approve an easement from Lower Paxton Township to Verizon to provide service to the cellular telephone tower being constructed at the Public Works facility located at 5975 Locust Lane. Mr. Blain seconded the motion. Mr. Seeds questioned if Mr. Stine had reviewed the easement agreement. Mr. Stine answered that he did and that it was fine. Mr. Hawk called for a voice vote, and a unanimous vote followed.

Change Order 1; Jonestown Road guide rail replacement contract with Collinson, Inc.

Mr. Wolfe explained that the change order does nothing to impact the cost of the project; it increases the time for final completion by two weeks. He noted that staff recommends the approval of the change.

Mr. Blain made a motion to approve Change Order 1 for the Jonestown Road guide rail replacement contract with Collinson, Inc. Mr. Crissman seconded the motion, and a unanimous vote followed.

<u>Change Orders 1 & 2; Thomas B. George Jr. Park site improvement contract</u> with the Premier Construction Group

Mr. Wolfe explained that these two change orders are additions. He explained that Change Order 1 is in the amount of \$4,062.00 to install an additional inlet in the parking lot and needed paving. He noted that one of the parking lots was not providing proper drainage, and given the fact that Premier Construction Group was working on site with the necessary equipment, it was decided to award them the work. He explained that Change Order 2 is in the amount of \$2,894.00 to provide for spouting, soffit and fascia, for the pavilion. He noted that the pavilion that the Township purchased was a kit purchased under the State Contract and did not come with spouting, soffit and fascia. He noted that the installation of these three items is viewed to be necessary for proper drainage and maintenance.

Mr. Crissman made a motion to approve Change Orders 1 and 2 to the Premier Construction Group for the above listed site improvements to the Thomas B. George Jr. Park. Mr. Blain seconded the motion, and a unanimous vote followed.

Mr. Crissman questioned if the change orders required the Secretary's signature. Ms. Heberle stated that they did not, only the Chairman's signature.

Preliminary/final land development plan for Deaven Woods

Ms. Wissler explained that the purpose of the plan is to subdivide the overall tract into 37 lots, 35 lots are proposed to be single-family building lots and two lots are proposed to be open space lots. The tract consists of 35.9836 acres, is located east of Deaven Road and north of Devonshire Heights Road and will be served by public water and public sewer.

Ms. Wissler explained that the property is zoned AR, Agricultural Residential District and FP, Flood Plain District with an OSD, Open Space Development Overlay District. The OSD was approved by the Board of Supervisors on August 8, 2007. She noted that on November 14, 2007, the Planning Commission recommended approval of the plan subject to addressing the review comments. The Commission also recommended approval of Waiver Nos. 1 through 5. Waiver No. 6 was reviewed by the Township Engineer. Ms. Wissler noted that the applicant has requested the following waivers: 1) Waiver of the preliminary plan requirement; 2) Waiver of the horizontal curve radius requirement for minor streets from 275 feet to 150 feet for two curves for Cold Stream Lane; 3) Waiver of the sidewalk and curb requirements along the frontage of Deaven Road; 4) Waiver of the maximum length requirement for cul-de-sac streets from 600 ft. to approximately 2,700 ft. and the maximum number of units requirement for cul-de-sac streets from 20 units to 35 units for Cold Stream Lane; 5) Waiver of the requirement that a low-flow channel and under drain shall be provided in detention basins from each point of inflow to the outlet facility; and 6) Waiver of the requirement that the side slopes of detention basins not exceed 3:1. This request is only for the northern cut slope of the detention basin to be constructed at a 2:1 slope.

Ms. Wissler noted that Jeff Staub, Dauphin Engineering, and Micki Molinari, and Sidney Ruble are present on behalf of the plan.

Mr. Crissman noted that General Comment # 9 pertains to HRG, Inc.'s 26 comments that seems to be more than normal. He questioned if staff is comfortable with the large number of comments. Ms. Wissler explained that the first page of comments concerns the waiver requests, and she noted that she spoke to Mr. Snyder regarding some questions that Mr. Seeds had for the plan. She noted that she also spoke to the fire chief who reviewed the plan, and noted that many of the comments have been resolved to her satisfaction.

Mr. Seeds questioned Ms. Wissler as to her response from the fire chief for the length of the cul-de-sac. Ms. Wissler noted that Chief Lowman reviewed the plan and she received a letter from him regarding the question on the fire hydrants, and he stated that he was comfortable with the length of the cul-de-sac and that his equipment could handle that length.

Ms. Wissler noted that she spoke to Mr. Snyder regarding the waivers, and he stated that he would support all six waivers.

Mr. Staub noted that he reviewed the 26 comments provided by Mr. Snyder and he had lengthy discussions with Ms. Wissler to resolve the outstanding comments. Mr. Seeds noted that Mr. Snyder had a comment regarding the retention basin that the soils in the area do not drain very well. Mr. Staub noted that he completed soils testing in the area of the detention basin and found that the soils do not drain quickly enough to have an infiltration system designed. He noted that he came up with an alternate design for the basin that would over-excavate the bottom of the basin by 2.5 feet, and refill the basin with 2.5 feet of suitable soil that will infiltrate. Mr. Seeds noted that Mr. Staub would need a waiver to do this. Mr. Staub answered that that was correct. He explained that the alternate design is required and has been approved by the Dauphin County Conservation District.

Mr. Seeds noted that Mr. Snyder was concerned about the 2:1 slope that is requested for the vegetation that would grow on the slope. Mr. Staub noted that there is a special seed mix for a 2:1 slope made up of a legume and other grass mixes. He noted that it would not be cut, but would be a typical seed mixture for that type of application. He noted that there are some other cut slopes outside the basin in the development that are 2:1 slope, and that is a permitted use in the Township.

Mr. Seeds questioned what the depth of the basin would be. Mr. Staub answered that the depth of the water in the infiltration area is one foot deep, but the overall depth for water is 4.5

feet for a 100-year storm. Mr. Seeds questioned if there would be a need to require fencing for the retention basin on the northern slope. Mr. Staub noted that Mr. Snyder requested a Basin De-Watering calculation, which he completed and it showed that it would take 16 hours to de-water the basin and it would occur in less than one day. Mr. Seeds questioned what size rainfall was it tested for. Mr. Staub answered that it was tested for a 25-year storm. He noted that you do not need to control a 100-year storm, only manage it. Mr. Seeds questioned if there is a need for fencing on the north side. Mr. Staub answered that there are two criteria for fencing, the first being how long the water would be in the basin, which is less than a day, and the second, the maximum depth for the 25-year storm would be 4.5 feet, which is compared to the maximum allowable depth of eight feet. Mr. Seeds noted that the engineer does not see the need for fencing since the maximum allowed depth would be for a 25-year event. Mr. Staub noted that as a practical matter, the fence is not necessary, but it would be the Board's decision.

Mr. Crissman questioned Mr. Staub if he was in agreement with the six waivers, nine general comments to include HRG, Inc.'s 26 comments, and three staff comments. Mr. Staub answered that he agreed to all the waivers and comments.

Mr. Crissman made a motion to approve the preliminary/final subdivision plan 2007-24 for Deaven Woods with the following waivers and comments: 1) Waiver of the preliminary plan requirement; 2) Waiver of the horizontal curve radius requirement for minor streets from 275 feet to 150 feet for two curves for Cold Stream Lane; 3) Waiver of the sidewalk and curb requirements along the frontage of Deaven Road; 4) Waiver of the maximum length requirement for cul-de-sac streets from 600 ft. to approximately 2700 ft. and the maximum number of units requirement for cul-de-sac streets from 20 units to 35 units for Cold Stream Lane; 5) Waiver of the requirement that a low-flow channel and under drain shall be provided in detention basins from each point of inflow to the outlet facility; 6) Waiver of the requirement that the side slopes

of detention basins not exceed 3:1. This request is only for the northern cut slope of the detention basin to be constructed at a 2:1 slope; 7) Plan approval shall be subject to providing original seals and signatures; 8) Plan approval shall be subject to the payment of the engineering review fees; 9) Plan approval shall be subject to obtaining the necessary permits required by Federal and State agencies for the disturbance of wetlands; 10) Plan approval shall be subject to Lower Paxton Township Sewer Authority's review and approval of the sanitary sewer design; 11) Plan approval shall be subject to DEP's approval of a sewage facilities planning module; 12) Plan approval shall be subject to the Dauphin County Conservation District's review and approval of the Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan; 13) Plan approval will be subject to the payment of fee-in-lieu for 35 lots at \$2,300; 14) Plan approval will be subject to the establishment of an automatically renewable improvement guarantee for the proposed site improvements; 15) Plan approval is subject to addressing HRG's, Inc., 26 comments dated December 13, 2007; 16) A Street/Storm Sewer Construction Permit is required and to be obtained prior to earth moving activities; 17) All proposed signage, including construction signs, shall comply with Article 7 of the Lower Paxton Township Zoning Ordinance, and 18) A preconstruction meeting is to be held prior to starting the project. Contact should be made with Matt Miller to schedule the meeting, and it may be held in conjunction with the Dauphin County Conservation District meeting. Mr. Blain seconded the motion, and Mr. Hawk called for a roll call vote: Mr. Blain, aye; Mr. Crissman, aye; Mr. Hornung, aye; Mr. Seeds, aye; and Mr. Hawk, aye.

Preliminary/final land development plan for Pepsi Bottling Group

Ms. Moran noted that this plan proposes a warehouse addition of approximately 170,800 square feet to be used primarily as a production facility. The project area encompasses approximately 8 acres of the 35 acre tract. The property is zoned General Industrial, and is

located south of Briarsdale Road and east of Dana Drive. The property is served by public sewer and public water.

Ms. Moran noted that on November 14, 2007 the Planning Commission recommended approval of the plan and waiver of the preliminary plan requirement. She noted that staff supports this waiver.

Ms. Moran noted that Mr. Judd Dayton, from Evans Engineering, is present to represent the plan.

Mr. Dayton explained that when the facility was initially constructed, it was done in such a manner to allow for further expansion; rough grading and storm water facilities were added to accommodate an expansion and the infrastructure was built to accommodate an addition. He noted that the fire protection was looped out in order not to interfere with future expansion. He noted that the purpose of the expansion is to expand the production operations. He explained that Pepsi trucks in a large portion of their soda, to redistribute it within the facility to reload on to the delivery trucks. He noted that the expansion would allow Pepsi to bottle the product on site.

Mr. Dayton noted that he reviewed the seven comments from HRG, Inc. and stated that none are plan related, mostly concerning outside reviews.

Mr. Hawk questioned that prior to the expansion, was the site primarily a distribution location. Mr. Dayton answered that there is a single bottling line in the building now, and other than that line, it has been used mainly as a distribution site.

Mr. Crissman questioned Mr. Dayton if he was in agreement with the one waiver request, seven general conditions, that include the letter from HRG, Inc., dated December 7, 2007 with seven comments, as well as the one staff comment. Mr. Dayton answered that the conditions could be met for the plan, and he is in agreement with the waiver and general and staff comments.

Mr. Crissman made a motion to approve the preliminary/final land development plan

2007-23 for the Pepsi Bottling Group with the following wavier and conditions: 1) Waiver of the

preliminary plan requirement; 2) Plan approval shall be subject to providing original seals and

signatures; 3) Plan approval shall be subject to the payment of engineering review fees; 4) Plan

approval shall be subject to the establishment of an automatically renewable improvement

guarantee for the proposed site improvements; 5) Plan approval shall be subject to the Dauphin

County Conservation District's review of the Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan; 6) Plan

approval shall be subject to DEP's approval of a sewage facilities planning module; 7) Plan

approval shall be subject to Lower Paxton Township Sewer Department's review and approval

of the sanitary sewer design; 8) Plan approval shall be subject to addressing HRG, Inc.'s seven

comments, dated December 7, 2007; and 9) All signage must meet the requirements of the

Lower Paxton Township Zoning Ordinance.

Mr. Blain seconded the motion. Mr. Hawk called for a roll call vote; Mr. Blain, aye; Mr.

Crissman, aye; Mr. Hornung, aye, Mr. Seeds, aye; and Mr. Hawk, aye.

Payment of Bills

Mr. Seeds made a motion to pay the bills of Lower Paxton Township and Lower Paxton

Township Authority. Mr. Crissman seconded the motion, and a unanimous vote followed.

Adjournment

There being no further business, Mr. Crissman made a motion to adjourn the meeting.

Mr. Blain seconded the motion, and the meeting adjourned at 8: 28 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Approved by,

Maureen Heberle Recording Secretary Gary A. Crissman Township Secretary

16